

ANALYTIC CONTINUATION OF THE SERIES $\sum (m+nz)^{-s}$

BY
JOSEPH LEWITTES⁽¹⁾

Abstract. The series $\sum (m+nz)^{-s}$, m, n ranging over all integers except both zero, for s an integer greater than two is well known from the theory of elliptic functions and modular forms. In this paper, we show that this series defines an analytic function $G(z, s)$ for $\text{Im } z > 0$ and $\text{Re } s > 2$ which has an analytic continuation to all values of s . It is then shown that G satisfies a functional equation under the transformation $z \rightarrow -1/z$, and finally as an application some numerical results are obtained.

Throughout, except where otherwise noted, if $w \in \mathbb{C}$, the complex numbers, and $w \neq 0$, we define $\arg w$ to be that value of the argument such that $-\pi \leq \arg w < \pi$. Then $\log w = \log |w| + i \arg w$ and for complex u , $w^u = e^{u \log w}$. Also we use the standard notations $z = x + iy$, $s = \sigma + it$ for complex variables. With these conventions define

$$(1) \quad G(z, s) = \sum'_{m,n} \frac{1}{(m+nz)^s}$$

The ' on the summation sign indicates that m, n range over all integers except $m=n=0$. Each individual term of the sum is an analytic function of $(z, s) \in \{y > 0\} \times \mathbb{C}$ and it is known that the series in (1) converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of $\{y > 0, \sigma > 2\}$ so that G is an analytic function of two complex variables in this product of half-planes. Because of the absolute convergence the terms of the series may be arranged in any order of summation.

It is evident that $G(z+1, s) = G(z, s)$ so that G has a Fourier expansion of the form $G(z, s) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k(s) e^{2\pi i k z}$. To find the coefficients write the sum in (1) as

$$\sum'_{m,n} = \sum_{m=1, n=0}^{\infty} + \sum_{m=-\infty, n=0}^{-1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}$$

and recalling our convention concerning the argument, e.g., for $m > 0$, $(-m)^s = e^{-\pi i s} m^s$, we obtain

$$G(z, s) = (1 + e^{\pi i s}) \zeta(s) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F(nz, s) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F(-nz, s),$$

where $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta function and $F(z, s) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} (z+m)^{-s}$. The series for F converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of $\{y \neq 0, \sigma > 1\}$ and

Received by the editors July 27, 1970.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 30A14, 30A58, 10D05.

Key words and phrases. Analytic continuation, modular form, functional equation.

⁽¹⁾ Research supported by NSF Grant GP 9466.

Copyright © 1971, American Mathematical Society

since for $y > 0$ we have $(-z + m)^s = e^{-\pi i s}(z - m)^s$ it follows that $F(-z, s) = e^{\pi i s}F(z, s)$. Thus we have

$$(2) \quad G(z, s) = (1 + e^{\pi i s}) \left(\zeta(s) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F(nz, s) \right).$$

From now on we consider F as defined only for $y > 0$.

Since $F(z + 1, s) = F(z, s)$ and $\lim_{y \rightarrow +\infty} F(z, s) = 0$, F has a Fourier expansion of the form $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k(s) e^{2\pi i k z}$. Application of the Poisson summation formula gives $b_k(s) = e^{2\pi i k y} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi i k u} / (u + iy)^s du$, where y is any fixed positive number. Setting $y = 1$, the integral may be evaluated by the calculus of residues in the complex u -plane and yields $b_k(s) = ((-2\pi i)^s / \Gamma(s)) k^{s-1}$ so that

$$F(z, s) = \frac{(-2\pi i)^s}{\Gamma(s)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{s-1} e^{2\pi i k z}.$$

Now replace z by nz and substitute in (2), collecting like powers of $e^{2\pi i n z}$ —which is permissible because the resulting double series is absolutely convergent—to obtain

$$(3) \quad \frac{G(z, s)}{1 + e^{\pi i s}} = \zeta(s) + \frac{(-2\pi i)^s}{\Gamma(s)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{s-1}(n) e^{2\pi i n z}$$

where $\sigma_{s-1}(n) = \sum_{k|n} k^{s-1}$, k running over the positive divisors of n . It is convenient to define $H(z, s) = G(z, s) / (1 + e^{\pi i s})$ and $A(z, s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{s-1}(n) e^{2\pi i n z}$, so that (3) becomes

$$(4) \quad H(z, s) = \zeta(s) + ((-2\pi i)^s / \Gamma(s)) A(z, s).$$

Now the series for $A(z, s)$ is absolutely and uniformly convergent for (z, s) in any compact subset of $\{y > 0\} \times C$ so that we have found the analytic continuation of $G(z, s)$ for all values of s .

The formula (4) is known from the theory of modular forms for the special case where s is an even integer $2r \geq 4$. In this case $G(z, 2r)$ is a modular form of weight r . To determine the behavior of $G(z, s)$ under the modular group we study the transformation $z \rightarrow -1/z$ which along with $z \rightarrow z + 1$ generates the group. To do this we rearrange the terms of the sum (1) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum'_{m, n} &= \left(\sum_{m > 0, n = 0} + \sum_{m < 0, n = 0} \right) + \left(\sum_{m = 0, n > 0} + \sum_{m = 0, n < 0} \right) \\ &+ \left(\sum_{m > 0, n > 0} + \sum_{m < 0, n < 0} \right) + \left(\sum_{m < n, n > 0} + \sum_{m > 0, n < 0} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In each pair of summations the second sum is $e^{\pi i s}$ times the first. Thus, recalling the definition of H , we have

$$(5) \quad H(z, s) = \zeta(s) + z^{-s} \zeta(s) + K(z, s) + L(z, s)$$

where $K(z, s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (m + nz)^{-s}$, $L(z, s) = \sum_{m=1}^{-1} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (m + nz)^{-s}$. Now, for $y > 0$, $\arg(-1/z) = \pi - \arg z$, $\arg(m + n(-1/z)) = \arg(mz - n) - \arg z$, if $m > 0, n > 0$, and $\arg(m + n(-1/z)) = \arg(-mz + n) - \arg z + \pi$, if $m < 0, n > 0$. Thus $(-1/z)^s$

$= e^{\pi i s}/z^s$, $K(-1/z, s) = z^s L(z, s)$ and $L(-1/z, s) = z^s e^{-\pi i s} K(z, s)$. Using this in (5) gives, after a little rearrangement,

$$(6) \quad H(-1/z, s) = z^s H(z, s) + z^s (e^{-\pi i s} - 1)(\zeta(s) + K(z, s)).$$

Solving for $A(-1/z, s)$ gives

$$(7) \quad A(-1/z, s) = z^s A(z, s) + \frac{(z^s e^{-\pi i s} - 1)}{(-2\pi i)^s} \Gamma(s) \zeta(s) + \frac{z^s (e^{-\pi i s} - 1)}{(-2\pi i)^s} \Gamma(s) K(z, s).$$

(6), (7) hold for $\sigma > 2$, as derived; however, as all the functions occurring, other than K , have continuations to all values of s so does K and the formulas hold for all s .

To apply these formulas we obtain another expression for K . Recall the relation, for $x > 0$, $\sigma > 0$, $\Gamma(s)/z^s = \int_0^\infty u^{s-1} e^{-zu} du$ so that for $x > 0$, $y > 0$, $\sigma > 2$ we have

$$\Gamma(s) K(z, s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^\infty u^{s-1} e^{-(m+nz)u} du.$$

Because of absolute convergence we can interchange the order of summation and integration and, summing the resulting geometric series, we have

$$(8) \quad \Gamma(s) K(z, s) = \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{s-1}}{(e^u - 1)(e^{zu} - 1)} du.$$

By analogy with the integral representation of the Γ function, we introduce the complex variable $w = u + iv$ and define, for $x > 0$, $y > 0$,

$$J(z, s) = \int_{\gamma_z} \frac{w^{s-1}}{(e^w - 1)(e^{zw} - 1)} dw.$$

Here γ_z is the path in the w -plane along the real axis from $+\infty$ to δ_z , $0 < \delta_z < \min(2\pi, 2\pi/|z|)$, with $\arg w = 0$, then along the counterclockwise circle of radius δ_z , with $0 \leq \arg w \leq 2\pi$, then back along the real axis from δ_z to $+\infty$ with $\arg w = 2\pi$. By the residue theorem the value of the integral is independent of the choice of δ_z within the range stated. For z in a compact subset of the first quadrant, the integral converges uniformly for s in any compact subset of $\mathcal{C}$, hence is analytic. Splitting the integral into two, one along the axis, the other along the circle, and noting that $w^{s-1} = u^{s-1}$ on the "upper-edge" of the axis and $w^{s-1} = e^{2\pi i s} u^{s-1}$ on the "lower edge", we have

$$(9) \quad J(z, s) = (e^{2\pi i s} - 1) \int_{\delta_z}^\infty \frac{u^{s-1}}{(e^u - 1)(e^{zu} - 1)} du + \int_{|w|=\delta_z} \frac{w^{s-1}}{(e^w - 1)(e^{zw} - 1)} dw.$$

If $\sigma > 2$, the second integral tends to 0 as δ_z tends to 0 so that

$$J(z, s) = (e^{2\pi i s} - 1) \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{s-1}}{(e^u - 1)(e^{zu} - 1)} du.$$

Comparing this with (8), we see that

$$(10) \quad \Gamma(s) K(z, s) = J(z, s)/(e^{2\pi i s} - 1).$$

Again, as derived, (10) holds for z in the first quadrant and $\sigma > 2$ but we already know that the left side has a continuation to z in the upper half-plane and all s , hence so does $J(z, s)$ and (10) then still persists.

Substituting (10) into (7) and noting $(-2\pi i)^s = e^{-\pi i s}(2\pi i)^s$ yields

$$(11) \quad A(-1/z, s) = z^s A(z, s) + \frac{(z^s - e^{\pi i s})}{(2\pi i)^s} \Gamma(s) \zeta(s) - \frac{z^s J(z, s)}{(2\pi i)^s (e^{\pi i s} + 1)}$$

valid for $y > 0$, all s .

As an application of these formulas, note that if s an integer k , then by (9), for z in the first quadrant,

$$J(z, k) = \int_{|w|=\delta_z} \frac{w^{k-1}}{(e^w - 1)(e^{zw} - 1)} dw.$$

The integrand here is meromorphic in a neighborhood of the origin and analytic for $0 < |w| < \delta_z$ so, by the residue theorem, $J(z, k) = 2\pi i \operatorname{Res}_{w=0} w^{k-1}/(e^w - 1)(e^{zw} - 1)$. Now

$$\frac{w}{e^w - 1} = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_p}{p!} w^p, \quad \frac{w}{e^{zw} - 1} = \frac{1}{z} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_q}{q!} z^q w^q,$$

with $b_0 = 1$, $b_1 = -\frac{1}{2}$, $b_{2p+1} = 0$ for $p > 0$ and b_{2p} the Bernoulli numbers. Thus for $k \geq 3$, $J(z, k) = 0$, while for $k = 2 - r$, $r \geq 0$,

$$J(z, 2 - r) = 2\pi i \operatorname{Res}_{w=0} w^{-r-1} \cdot \frac{w}{e^w - 1} \cdot \frac{w}{e^{zw} - 1},$$

hence,

$$(12) \quad J(z, 2 - r) = (2\pi i/z) C_r(z)$$

where $C_r(z)$ is the polynomial $\sum_{p+q=r} (b_p/p!)(b_q/q!) z^q$. In particular, for $r=0$, $J(z, 2) = 2\pi i/z$ so (11) becomes, using $\Gamma(2)\zeta(2) = \pi^2/6$,

$$(13) \quad A(-1/z, 2) = z^2 A(z, 2) - z^2/24 + iz/4\pi + 1/24,$$

an interesting functional equation.

We make a number of simple deductions from (13). First, recalling the definition of A , and taking $z = iy$, $y > 0$, gives

$$(14) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma_1(k) e^{-2\pi k/y} = -y^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma_1(k) e^{-2\pi k y} + \frac{y^2}{24} - \frac{y}{4\pi} + \frac{1}{24}.$$

Since $A(iy, 2) \rightarrow 0$ as $y \rightarrow +\infty$ we note that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma_1(k) e^{-2\pi k/y} \sim y^2/24$ as $y \rightarrow +\infty$. Also, by (13) and $A(z+1, 2) = A(z, 2)$, one can evaluate $A(z, 2)$ at any point z fixed under the modular group. For example, putting $z = i$ in (13) gives

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma_1(k) e^{-2\pi k} = \frac{1}{24} - \frac{1}{8\pi},$$

while putting $z = \omega = e^{2\pi i/3} = -\frac{1}{2} + i\sqrt{3}/2$, in (13), and noting $-1/\omega = \omega + 1$ so that $A(-1/\omega, 2) = A(\omega + 1, 2) = A(\omega, 2)$ gives, after a little calculation,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \sigma_1(k) e^{-\sqrt{3}\pi k} = \frac{1}{24} - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{3}\pi}.$$

To apply (7) or (11) for s an odd integer, one needs more information about K or J . For example, when $s = 2k + 1$, and $J(z, s) = J(z, 2k + 1) + \alpha(s - 2k - 1) + \dots$ is the Taylor's series of $J(z, s)$ about $s = 2k + 1$, $\alpha = \partial J(z, 2k + 1)/\partial s$, then if α were known, we could insert this in (11), expand all functions of s about $s = 2k + 1$, and comparing the constant terms, we would obtain the functional equation for $A(z, 2k + 1)$ in terms of known numbers.

In case s is a nonpositive even integer, say $s = -2k$, $k \geq 0$, then we may simplify (11). For by the functional equation for the ζ -function one has, if $k > 0$, $\Gamma(s)\zeta(s)|_{s=-2k} = (-1)^k \zeta(2k + 1)/2(2\pi)^{2k}$, while $(e^{-\pi i s} z^s - 1)\Gamma(s)\zeta(s)|_{s=0} = (\pi i - \log z)/2$. Also, $J(z, -2k) = J(z, 2 - (2k + 2)) = (2\pi i/z)C_{2k+2}(z)$, by (12). Since $b_2 = 1/6$, we obtain

$$(15) \quad A(-1/z, 0) = A(z, 0) - \frac{\pi i z}{12} + \frac{\pi i}{4} - \frac{\pi i}{12z} - \frac{\log z}{2}.$$

Note that we cannot use this to evaluate $A(i, 0)$. For $k > 0$, we obtain

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} & A(-1/z, -2k) \\ &= z^{-2k} A(z, -2k) + \frac{(z^{-2k} - 1)}{2} \zeta(2k + 1) - \frac{(-1)^k i (2\pi)^{2k+1}}{2z^{2k+1}} C_{2k+2}(z). \end{aligned}$$

If k is odd, then (16) can be used to evaluate $A(i, -2k)$ in terms of the Bernoulli numbers and $\zeta(2k + 1)$.

ADDITIONAL NOTE. We have just observed that the function $A(z, 0)$ and its functional equation are familiar objects. Recall the definition of Dedekind's η function,

$$\eta(z) = e^{\pi i z/12} \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{2\pi i m z})$$

convergent for z in the upper half-plane. Then

$$\log \eta(z) = \frac{\pi i z}{12} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \log (1 - e^{2\pi i m z}),$$

all logarithms chosen to be real for $z = iy$. Using the Taylor's series for $\log (1 - t)$ about $t = 0$ in the above infinite series, we obtain

$$\log \eta(z) - \frac{\pi i z}{12} = - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} e^{2\pi i k m z} = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{-1}(n) e^{2\pi i n z} = -A(z, 0).$$

Thus, using the transformation formula for $A(z, 0)$ under $z \rightarrow -1/z$ we obtain the classical formula

$$\log \eta(-1/z) = \log \eta(z) + (\log z)/2 - \pi i/4.$$

This derivation seems to be different from the other proofs in the literature.

HERBERT H. LEHMAN COLLEGE (CUNY),
BRONX, NEW YORK 10468
GRADUATE CENTER (CUNY),
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10036